

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/807,947	05/10/2001	Wolfgang Mehr	010456	9291	
75	590 03/22/2002				
Law Office of Karl Hormann			EXAMINER		
86 Sparks Street			EASTHOM, KARL D		
Cambridge, MA	A 02138-2216				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK-OFFICE
WASHRHOTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.				
19/807947			-	,				
<u></u>		7 (		EXAMINER				
1		•	•					
		(	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
				•				
			DATE MAILED:					
			•	,				
·	•			• •				
No	otice of Non	-Compliant Amendment (37	CFR 1.121	1)				
The amend	ment filed ou 5	DDD is considered non-compliant be	cause it has not be	en submitted in				
the format required t	inder 37 CFR 1.121,	as amended on September 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed. )	Reg. 54603, Sept.	8, 2000, and				
1238 O.G. 77, Sept.		• •						
	endment does not inc 121(b)(1)(ii).	lude a clean version of the replacement paragra	ph(s)/section(s).					
2. The amendment does not include a marked-up version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s).  37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(iii)								
3. The amo	3. The amendment does not include a clean version of the amended claim(s). 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i)							
4. The ame	4. The amendment does not include a marked-up version of the amended claim(s). 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(ii)							
5. Other_	<u> </u>			<del></del> ·				
with revieway com action un	sed 37 CFR 1.121 mence without ent der 35 U.S.C. 132	MENT: Unless applicant re-submits the prelimitation on the mail date of this ry of the originally proposed preliminary amount this ONE MONTH time limit is not extend the content of the c	endment. This national endable.	otice is not an				
fide, app date of the avoid about 1.136(a).	licant is given a TI us notice, whichev andonment. EXTE	ON-FINAL ACTION: Since the above mentioned PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIS er is longer, within which to supply the omis ENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BI	sion or correction E GRANTED U	n in order to NDER 37 CFR				
For your conv (MPEP Books	enience, attach nark Bulletin o	ned to this correspondence is a copy on "Simplified Amendment Practice	of an inform ").	ational flyer				
Lak	· ·		•	٠.				
Legal Instruments 1	3xaminer		· .					
// 703 30	5-8814							

В

K

M

K

## Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area. Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.



The rule package

Federal Register on

Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.

8, 2000), and the Official

Gazette on

September 19, 2000,

1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Of-

fice 77 (September 19,

2000). The PBG rule

package makes a number

of revisions to Title 37.

Simplified Amendment Practice. Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

Amendment by

paragraph/claim

replacement in clean form.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on "Changes to the Patent or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to Business Goals - Final the changes in amendment practice. Rule," published in the September 8, 2000, 65

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following f rmat is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/secti n/ laim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

> The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including. the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/ claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

MPEP 714+ & 1302.04

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http:// www.uspto.gov/web/ offices/dcom/olia/pbg/ index.html.

Areas and individuals primarily affected by this rule change include: (1)Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers (2) Office of Patent Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage. Special Projects Exr.. (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA:

